4

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,	Case: 2:07-cr-20463 Assigned To: Roberts, Victoria Assigned To: Roberts, Victoria Referral Judge: Morgan, Virgini Filed: 09-18-2007 At 03:24 PM indi usa v. atchison (tam)	A a M
vs.	COUNT 1:	<u> </u>
	ATTEMPTED	
JOHN DAVID ROY ATCHISON,	ENTICEMENT/COERCION	
	18 U.S.C. § 2422(b)	
Defendant.		
	COUNT 2:	
	AGGRAVATED SEXUAL A	BUSE
	18 U.S.C. § 2241(c)	•
	V	
	COUNT 3:	
	TRAVEL WITH INTENT TO	)
	ENGAGE IN ILLICIT SEXU	
		AL
	CONDUCT	
	18 U.S.C. § 2423(b) & (e)	

### INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges that:

### COUNT 1

# ATTEMPTED COERCION AND ENTICEMENT 18 U.S.C; § 2422(b)

On or about and between August 29, 2007 and September 16, 2007, in the Eastern District of Michigan, and elsewhere, the defendant, JOHN DAVID ROY

ATCHISON did knowingly use a facility and means of interstate commerce, to wit: a computer connected to the internet to attempt to persuade, induce, entice and coerce an individual who had not attained the age of 18 years, that is, a five-(5)-year-old girl, to engage in illegal sexual activity, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, § 2422(b).

#### COUNT 2

## AGGRAVATED SEXUAL ABUSE 18 U.S.C. § 2241(c)

On or about September 16, 2007, in the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant JOHN DAVID ROY ATCHISON, did knowingly cross a state line while traveling from the State of Florida to the State of Michigan, with the intent to engage in a sexual act with a person who had not attained the age of 12 years, that is, a five-(5)-year-old girl, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, § 2241(c).

### COUNT 3

# TRAVEL WITH INTENT TO ENGAGE IN ILLICIT SEXUAL CONDUCT 18 U.S.C. § 2423(b)&(c)

On or about September 16, 2007, in the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant JOHN DAVID ROY ATCHISON, did knowingly travel and did attempt to travel in interstate commerce, from the State of Florida to the

State of Michigan, with the intent and for the purpose of engaging in illicit sexual conduct, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, § 2423(f), with another person, that is, a five-(5)-year-old girl, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, § § 2423(b) & (e).

A TRUE BILL.

/ <sub>S</sub> /	
GRAND JURY	FOREPERSON

STEPHEN J. MURPHY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

s/Jennifer M. Gorland

JENNIFER M. GORLAND Assistant United States Attorney Chief, General Crimes Unit 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, Michigan 48226

s/John N. O'Brien II

JOHN N. O'BRIEN II

Assistant U. S. Attorney
john.obrien@usdoj.gov
211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Phone: (313) 226-9715
P39912

DATED: September 17, 2007

	s District Court rict of Michigan	Criminal Case	Case: 2:07-cr-20463 Assigned To: Roberts, Victoria A Referral Judge: Morgan, Virginia M Filed: 09-18-2007 At 03:24 PM	
NOTE: It is the r	responsibility of the Assista	nt U.S. Attorney signing this form to $\epsilon$	indi usa v. atchison (tam)	
Compan	nion Case Inform	nation	Companion Case Number:	
This may be	a companion case base	ed upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4)1:	Judge Assigned:	
	[ ]Yes	(X) No	AUSA's Initials: JO	
Case	e Title: USA v. <u>JO</u>	HN DAVID ROY ATCHISOI	N	
Cou	nty where offense	occurred : Wayne		
Che	ck One: [x] Felony	UMisden	neanor L Petty	
( )	Indictment/Info	ormation no prior compla	sint.	
. (x)	Indictment_X/	Information based upon pr	rior complaint [Case number: <u>07-30430</u> ]	
( )			R 57.10 (d) [Complete Superseding section below].	
Supersedir	Original case was t Corrects errors; no Involves, for plea p	Judge:	ges or defendants. ants.	
	Defendant name	!	<u>Charges</u>	
			7/163 T	
Se	ptember 18, 2007			
	Date		JOAN N. O'ERIEN II Assistant United States Attorney	
			211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001	
			Detroit, MI 48226-3277	
			Phone: (313) 226-9715	
			Fax: (313) 226-2372 E-Mail address: john.obrien@usdoj.gov	

Attorney Bar #: P39912

Companion cases are matters in which it appears that substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial or the same of related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.